arXiv:1212.0172v2 [math.NA] 4 Dec 2012

ON THE NORM OF INVERSES OF CONFLUENT VANDERMONDE MATRICES

DMITRY BATENKOV

Abstract. In this note we present a simple upper bound for the row-wise norm of the inverses of general confluent Vandermonde matrices.

1. Introduction and main result

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be pairwise distinct complex numbers and $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n\}$ a vector of natural numbers such that $\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_n = N$.

Definition 1. The $N \times N$ *confluent Vandermonde matrix* is

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1,0} & v_{2,0} & \cdots & v_{n,0} \\ v_{1,1} & v_{2,1} & \cdots & v_{n,1} \\ \vdots & & & \\ v_{1,N-1} & v_{2,N-1} & \cdots & v_{n,N-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $v_{j,k} = \left[x_j^k, \quad k x_j^{k-1}, \quad \dots \quad k(k-1) \times \dots \times (k-\ell_j+1) x_j^{k-\ell_j+1} \right]$.

While the usual Vandermonde matrices, corresponding to the configuration $\ell_1 = \dots = \ell_n = 1$, are ubiquitous, the general confluent case is somewhat less known. The confluent matrices classically appeared in theory of interpolation and quadrature [11, 12], as well as in more recent studies of higher-order numerical methods in signal processing [1, 2, 3].

It is often desirable to estimate the row-wise norm of V^{-1} (which can be used to further evaluate the condition number), see e.g. [4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Gautschi obtained very precise bounds in [7, 8], but only for the case $\ell_i \leq 2;\ i = 1, \dots n$. In this note we generalize these results for the arbitrary confluent configuration. Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1. *Assume that the points* $\{x_j\}$ *satisfy* $|x_j| \leq 1$ *and also that they are* δ*-separated, i.e.* $|x_i - x_j| \geq \delta > 0$ *for* $i \neq j$. *Denote by* $u_{j,k}$ *the row with index* $\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{j-1} + k + 1$ *of* V^{-1} *(for* $k = 0, 1, \dots, \ell_j - 1$*). Then the* ℓ_1*-norm of* $u_{j,k}$ *satisfies*

$$\|u_{j,k}\|_1 \leqslant \left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^N \frac{2}{k!} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{N}{\delta}\right)^{\ell_j - 1 - k}. \tag{1.1}$$

The proof of this theorem (see Section 3) combines original Gautschi's technique [8] and the well-known explicit expressions for the entries of V^{-1} [13], plus a technical lemma (Section 2, Lemma 1).

In contrast with [8], the bound (1.1) depends only on the separation distance and the combinatorial structure of the problem. It shows that the norm grows polynomially with $\frac{2}{\delta}$ and exponentially with N.

Date: December 5, 2012.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 15A09, Secondary: 65F35.

Key words and phrases. confluent Vandermonde matrices.

This research has been supported by the Adams Fellowship Program of the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

2. TECHNICAL LEMMA

Definition 2. For $j = 1, \ldots, n$ let

$$h_j(x) = \prod_{i \neq j} (x - x_i)^{-\ell_i}. \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 1. *The derivatives of h_j at x_j satisfy*

$$\left| h_j^{(t)}(x_j) \right| \leqslant N(N+1) \cdots (N+t-1) \delta^{-N-t}.$$

Proof. The proof has been kindly provided to us by did [6]. Assume by induction that there exists a universal polynomial $P_t(N)$ of degree t such that

$$|h_j^{(t)}(x_j)| \leqslant P_t(N)\, \delta^{-N-t}.$$

For $t = 0$ we have immediately $|h_j(x_j)| \leqslant \delta^{-N}$. Now

$$h_j'(x) = h_j(x) \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{-\ell_i}{x - x_i} \tag{2.2}$$

Therefore we can apply the Leibnitz rule

$$h_j^{(t)}(x) \quad = \quad \left(\frac{h_j'}{h_j} h_j \right)^{(t-1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \binom{t-1}{k} h_j^{(k)}(x) \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{(-1)^{t-k-1}(t-k-1)!\ell_i}{(x - x_i)^{t-k}},$$

hence

$$|h_j^{(t)}(x_j)| \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \binom{t-1}{k} |h_j^{(k)}(x_j)| \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{(t-k-1)!\ell_i}{|x_j - x_i|^{t-k}}.$$

This implies, together with the induciton hypothesis, that

$$|h_j^{(t)}(x_j)| \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \binom{t-1}{k} \frac{P_k(N)}{\delta^{N+k}} \cdot \frac{(t-k-1)!N}{\delta^{t-k}}.$$

So one can choose $P_0(N) = 1$ and, for every $t \geq 0$,

$$P_t(N) = N \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \frac{(t-1)!}{k!} P_k(N).$$

This yields $P_t(N) = N(N+1) \cdots (N+t-1)$, which completes the proof. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

By using a generalization of the Hermite interpolation formula ([14]), it is shown in [13] that the components of the row $u_{j,k}$ are just the coefficients of the polynomial

$$\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{t=0}^{\ell_j - 1 - k} \frac{1}{t!} h_j^{(t)}(x_j)(x - x_j)^{k+t} \prod_{i \neq j} (x - x_i)^{\ell_i}$$

where $h_j(x)$ is given by (2.1).

By [7, Lemma], the sum of absolute values of the coefficients of the polynomials $(x - x_j)^{k+t} \prod_{i \neq j}(x - x_i)^{\ell_i}$ is at most

$$(1 + |x_j|)^{k+t} \prod_{i \neq j} (1 + |x_i|)^{\ell_i} \leqslant 2^{N - (\ell_j - k - t)}.$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u_{j,k}\|_1 &\leqslant \frac{1}{k!}\sum_{t=0}^{\ell_j-1-k}\frac{1}{t!}\frac{N(N+1)\cdots(N+t-1)}{\delta^{N+t}}2^{N-\ell_j+k+t}\\
&= \left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^N\frac{1}{2^{\ell_j-k}k!}\sum_{t=0}^{\ell_j-1-k}\binom{\ell_j-1-k}{t}\frac{N(N+1)\cdots(N+t-1)}{(\ell_j-k-t)\cdots(\ell_j-k-2)(\ell_j-k-1)}\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^t\\
&\leqslant \left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^N\frac{1}{2^{\ell_j-k}k!}\left(1+\frac{2N}{\delta}\right)^{\ell_j-1-k}\\
&= \left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^N\frac{2}{k!}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{N}{\delta}\right)^{\ell_j-1-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Batenkov. Complete Algebraic Reconstruction of Piecewise-Smooth Functions from Fourier Data. *preprint*, 2012.

[2] D. Batenkov and Y. Yomdin. On the accuracy of solving confluent Prony systems. *To appear in SIAM J.Appl.Math.* Arxiv preprint arXiv:1106.1137.

[3] D. Batenkov and Y. Yomdin. Algebraic Fourier reconstruction of piecewise smooth functions. *Mathematics of Computation*, 81:277–318, 2012.

[4] F.S.V. Bazán. Conditioning of rectangular Vandermonde matrices with nodes in the unit disk. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 21:679, 2000.

[5] B. Beckermann. The condition number of real Vandermonde, Krylov and positive definite Hankel matrices. *Numerische Mathematik*, 85(4):553–577, 2000.

[6] did (http://math.stackexchange.com/users/6179/did). Evaluate a certain derivative. Mathematics. URL:http://math.stackexchange.com/q/248559 (version: 2012-12-01).

[7] W. Gautschi. On inverses of Vandermonde and confluent Vandermonde matrices. *Numerische Mathematik*, 4(1):117–123, 1962.

[8] W. Gautschi. On inverses of Vandermonde and confluent Vandermonde matrices. II. *Numerische Mathematik*, 5(1):425–430, 1963.

[9] W. Gautschi. Norm estimates for inverses of Vandermonde matrices. *Numerische Mathematik*, 23(4):337–347, 1974.

[10] W. Gautschi. On inverses of Vandermonde and confluent Vandermonde matrices III. *Numerische Mathematik*, 29(4):445–450, 1978.

[11] N.J. Higham. *Accuracy and stability of numerical algorithms*. Number 48. SIAM, 1996.

[12] D. Kalman. The generalized Vandermonde matrix. *Mathematics Magazine*, pages 15–21, 1984.

[13] R. Schappelle. The inverse of the confluent Vandermonde matrix. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 17(5):724–725, 1972.

[14] A. Spitzbart. A generalization of Hermite's interpolation formula. *American Mathematical Monthly*, pages 42–46, 1960.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT 76100, ISRAEL
E-mail address: `dima.batenkov@weizmann.ac.il`
URL: `http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~dmitryb`